2002 Reassessment Status Survey November, 2002

LAND VALUATION QUESTIONS

| Has the PTABOA reviewed and approved the township assessors' land values? | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|------------|--|
| 1. No | 18 | 2. Yes | 74 | |
| Has the county held the public heari | ng pursuant to IC 6-1.1-4-13.3(a)? | | | |
| 1. No | 17 | 2. Yes | 75 | |
| If answer to #2a is "no", are the cour | nty land values complete with the excep | tion of the publi | c hearing? | |
| 1. No | 8 | 2. Yes | 9 | |
| Are new land values incorporated in | to the county's reassessment software s | system? | | |
| 1. No | 29 | 2. Yes | 63 | |

IMPROVEMENT VALUATION QUESTIONS

What is the status of data collection for the 2002 reassessment?

| % cc | omplete | Residential | C/I | Ag |
|--------|---------|-------------|-----|----|
| | 0% | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1% to | 10% | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 11% to | 20% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21% to | 30% | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 31% to | 40% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41% to | 50% | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 51% to | 60% | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 61% to | 70% | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 71% to | 80% | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| 81% to | 90% | 3 | 4 | 2 |
| 91% to | 100% | 81 | 71 | 83 |

Are "quality grade" and "condition" ratings assigned?

| % cc | omplete | Residential | C/I | Ag |
|--------|---------|-------------|-----|----|
| | 0% | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 1% to | 10% | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 11% to | 20% | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 21% to | 30% | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 31% to | 40% | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| 41% to | 50% | 5 | 7 | 5 |
|--------|------|----|----|----|
| 51% to | 60% | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 61% to | 70% | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 71% to | 80% | 6 | 8 | 3 |
| 81% to | 90% | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| 91% to | 100% | 64 | 55 | 64 |

To what extent has data entry occurred?

| % cc | omplete | Residential | C/I | Ag |
|--------|---------|-------------|----------|----|
| | 0% | 8 | 29 | 8 |
| 1% to | 10% | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 11% to | 20% | 10 | 3 | 8 |
| 21% to | 30% | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 31% to | 40% | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 41% to | 50% | 6 | 5 | 6 |
| 51% to | 60% | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 61% to | 70% | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 71% to | 80% | 8 | 10 | 11 |
| 81% to | 90% | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| 91% to | 100% | 43 | 26 | 42 |
| | | | <u> </u> | _ |

Has the county contracted with a vendor to perform data entry?

| | 1. No | 52 | 2. Yes | 40 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----|
| Is the county using a sof | tware vendor to calculate im | provement values for 2002 reass | sessment? | |
| | 1. No | 4 | 2. Yes | 88 |
| Is the county system sof | tware installed? | | | |
| | 1. No | 6 | 2. Yes | 86 |
| Is the county system sof | tware fully operational for the | e 2002 reassessment? | | |
| 1. No | | 32 2. Yes | | 60 |

If the answer is "yes", when does the county anticipate completing final certification of software vendor to DLGF?

| Date of Certification | Total | % |
|-----------------------|-------|----|
| February-02 | 0 | 0% |
| March-02 | 0 | 0% |
| April-02 | 1 | 1% |
| May-02 | 1 | 1% |
| June-02 | 1 | 1% |
| July-02 | 0 | 0% |

| August-02 | 1 | 1% |
|--------------|----|-----|
| September-02 | 2 | 2% |
| October-02 | 1 | 1% |
| November-02 | 4 | 4% |
| December-02 | 9 | 10% |
| January-03 | 9 | 10% |
| February-03 | 12 | 13% |
| March-03 | 5 | 5% |
| April-03 | 3 | 3% |
| May-03 | 2 | 2% |
| June-03 | 0 | 0% |
| July-03 | 0 | 0% |
| Unknown | 9 | 10% |

If "no" what is the expected "operational" date and provide an explanation as to why it is not fully operational?

| Date of Certification | Total | % |
|-----------------------|-------|-----|
| February-02 | 0 | 0% |
| March-02 | 0 | 0% |
| April-02 | 0 | 0% |
| May-02 | 0 | 0% |
| June-02 | 0 | 0% |
| July-02 | 0 | 0% |
| August-02 | 0 | 0% |
| September-02 | 0 | 0% |
| October-02 | 0 | 0% |
| November-02 | 0 | 0% |
| December-02 | 4 | 4% |
| January-03 | 10 | 11% |
| February-03 | 2 | 2% |
| April-03 | 0 | 0% |
| May-03 | 0 | 0% |
| June-03 | 0 | 0% |
| July-03 | 0 | 0% |
| Unknown | 16 | 17% |

Is your computer system integrated with the County Auditor's system?

1. No

| 1. No | 37 | 2. Yes | 55 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----|
| Was the county required to up | grade or purchase new computer | software? | |
| 1. No | 8 | 2. Yes | 84 |
| Sales Disclosure Questions | | | |
| s the county using a program | to verify sales disclosure forms? | | |

2. Yes

| Has the county assessor retained | d copies of the Sales Disclosure Form | s from Jan. 1, 1998 through | ı Dec 31, 1999? |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. No | 1 | 2. Yes | 91 |
| Is the county retaining copies of t | he Sales Disclosure Forms from Jan | 1, 2002 and forward? | |
| 1. No | 0 | 2. Yes | 92 |
| Is the county keeping an electron | ic sales data file to record sales disclo | osure information? | |
| 1. No | 27 | 2. Yes | 65 |
| | | | |
| NEIGHBORHOOD FACTOR AN | D ASSESSMENT RATIO STUDY QUI | <u>ESTIONS</u> | |
| Has the county established "neig | hborhood" boundaries? | | |
| 1. No | 5 | 2. Yes | 87 |
| Has the county developed Neighl | porhood Factors for residential neighb | orhoods? | |
| 1. No | 63 | 2. Yes | 29 |
| Has or will the County hire a vend | dor to develop "Neighborhood Factors | "? | |
| 1. No | 10 | 2. Yes | 82 |
| Has or will the county hire a venc | lor to assist in ratio studies for equaliz | ation purposes? | |
| 1. No | 15 | 2. Yes | 77 |
| When does the county assessor | anticipate completing equalization? | | |

| Date of Approval | Total | % |
|------------------|-------|----|
| February-02 | 0 | 0% |
| March-02 | 0 | 0% |
| April-02 | 1 | 1% |
| May-02 | 0 | 0% |
| June-02 | 0 | 0% |
| July-02 | 0 | 0% |
| August-02 | 0 | 0% |
| September-02 | 0 | 0% |
| October-02 | 0 | 0% |

| November-02 | 2 | 2% |
|--------------|----|-----|
| December-02 | 3 | 3% |
| January-03 | 15 | 16% |
| February-03 | 11 | 12% |
| March-03 | 14 | 15% |
| April-03 | 8 | 9% |
| May-03 | 9 | 10% |
| June-03 | 6 | 7% |
| July-03 | 3 | 3% |
| August-03 | 1 | 1% |
| September-03 | 1 | 1% |
| October-03 | 1 | 1% |
| Unknown | 17 | 18% |

By what date does the county assessor anticipate providing the taxpayers with the Form 11 "Notice of Assessment"?

| Date of Approval | Total | % |
|------------------|-------|-----|
| February-02 | 0 | 0% |
| March-02 | 0 | 0% |
| April-02 | 0 | 0% |
| May-02 | 0 | 0% |
| June-02 | 0 | 0% |
| July-02 | 0 | 0% |
| August-02 | 0 | 0% |
| September-02 | 0 | 0% |
| October-02 | 0 | 0% |
| November-02 | 0 | 0% |
| December-02 | 1 | 1% |
| January-03 | 8 | 9% |
| February-03 | 10 | 11% |
| March-03 | 11 | 12% |
| April-03 | 14 | 15% |
| May-03 | 11 | 12% |
| June-03 | 7 | 8% |
| July-03 | 7 | 8% |
| August-03 | 1 | 1% |
| September-03 | 3 | 3% |
| October-03 | 0 | 0% |
| November-03 | 1 | 1% |
| Unknown | 18 | 20% |

By what date does the county assessor anticipate providing the County Auditor's office with the 2002 reassessment assessed values?

| Date of Approval | Total | % |
|------------------|-------|----|
| August-02 | 0 | 0% |
| September-02 | 0 | 0% |
| October-02 | 0 | 0% |
| November-02 | 0 | 0% |
| December-02 | 2 | 2% |

| January-03 | 3 | 3% |
|--------------|----|-----|
| January-03 | 3 | 3% |
| February-03 | 12 | 13% |
| March-03 | 12 | 13% |
| April-03 | 14 | 15% |
| May-03 | 7 | 8% |
| June-03 | 13 | 14% |
| July-03 | 7 | 8% |
| August-03 | 4 | 4% |
| September-03 | 1 | 1% |
| October-03 | 2 | 2% |
| November-03 | 0 | 0% |
| December-03 | 1 | 1% |
| Unknown | 14 | 15% |

Field person should ask for a sample of property record card to be used in the 2002 reassessment. Was sample card provided?

22 34 1. No 2. Yes Is your computer system integrated with the county auditor's system? 37 55 2. Yes

Can the county assessor estimate the amount of money spent or that will be spent on the following

| 1 | Data Collection | \$25,591,705 |
|---|-------------------|--------------|
| 2 | Data Entry | \$4,286,622 |
| 3 | Computer Hardware | \$2,392,504 |
| 4 | Computer Software | \$4,523,052 |
| 5 | Equalization | \$2,218,961 |
| 6 | Form 11 Mailing | \$573,686 |
| 7 | Appeals | \$1,087,300 |
| 8 | Total | \$69,960,594 |

1. No

^{*}Items #1-7 will not equal #8 due to some costs not being split out, and only included in the total.